all apply

| 28 June | 1951 |
|---------|--------|
| | |
| Copy No | , C1-7 |

25X1

25X1

25X1

| CURRENT | INTEL | LIGENCE | BULLETIN |
|---------|-------|---------|----------|
| | | | |

| CLASS. CHANGED TO: TS S NEXT REVIEW DATE: AUTH: HR 70-2 | c | 2008 |
|---|---|------|
| | | רטטו |
| DATE 121 MEVIEWER | | |

Office of Current Intelligence

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

DOS review(s) completed.
USAF review(s) completed.

TOP SECRET

SUMMARY

GENERAL

1. Chinese Communist endorsement of Malik's speech does not guarantee modification of original terms (page 3).

Caution dominates Western European press reaction to Malik proposal (page 3).

| F | A | R | E | Α | ST |
|---|---|---|---|---|----|
| | | | | _ | |

| 4 | Prisoners of war report on attitudes of Communist military |
|------------|---|
| 5 | personnel in Korea (page 4). Possible Soviet anti-aircraft elements reported in Pyongyang (page |
| | NEAR EAST |
| 6. | British Foreign Secretary believes no negotiations possible with present Iranian Government (page 6). |
| | |
| | EASTERN EUROPE |
| 9. | Tito evidences pro-American sentiment (page 8). |
| | WESTERN EUROPE |
| 10, | British Foreign Secretary explains intention to deliver Polish tanker; (page 9). British support for early German rearmament seen (page 9). |
| 1 1 | Drillen Support for early German rearmament seen (norse 0) |
| 11. 12. | New French Government faces an urgent problem in Indochina (page : |
| 11 12. | New French Government faces an urgent problem in Indochina (page) |
| 11. 12. | New French Government faces an urgent problem in Indochina (page : |
| 112. | New French Government faces an urgent problem in Indochina (page : |
| 12. | New French Government faces an urgent problem in Indochina (page : |
| 12. | New French Government faces an urgent problem in Indochina (page : |

GENERAL

| 1 | Chinese Communist and angeneral of as and |
|-------|---|
| | . Chinese Communist endorsement of Malik's speech does not guarantee modification of original terms: |
| 25X1A | An editorial in the official organ of the Chinese Communist Party, stating that the Chinese people "fully endorse" Malik's cease-fire suggestion, goes on to assert that if the US "is willing to stop the war, she should therefore fall in at once with the just and reasonable measures for peaceful settlement repeatedly proposed" by the Peiping regime. |
| | Comment: The editorial does not explicitly reaffirm Peiping's original terms for any settlement of the Korean conflict; namely: withdrawal of all UN forces from Korea, admission of Communist China to the UN and US abandonment of Taiwan. The reference to earlier proposals, however, leaves it open to Peiping to bring forward its original terms after a cease-fire is achieved. The Peiping regime appears prepared to continue its commitment in Korea indefinitely. |
| 2. | Caution dominates Western European press reaction to Malik proposal: |
| 25X1A | Leading non-Communist newspapers in West Germany, France and Italy received with caution the Malik proposal for a cease-fire in Korea, generally stressing that the statement should not be disregarded despite its obvious propaganda aspects. |
| | Although West German press comments were on the whole pessimistic, ranging from caution and distrust to outright rejec- tion of the proposal as a propaganda trick, Berlin newspapers reflected a hesitant optimism. French newspapers tended to show a slightly more favorable attitude, emphasizing that it would be |
| | - 3 - 25X1A |

absurd to reject the Soviet suggestion just because of its origin, as long as there is a slight chance for a settlement of the Korean fighting. In general, non-Communist Italian press comment stressed that the move was not solely a propaganda expedient and that the door to peace should be left open. Several Western European publications saw in the proposal a Soviet recognition of military failure in Korea and a victory of the UN Korean policy. Paris newspapers generally agreed that the UN goal of discouraging aggression would be obtained by a settlement on the 38th Parallel.

| | FAR EAST | | 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - |
|---------------------------|--|---|---|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 4. Prisoners of war repo | ent on attitudes of Clar | mmunist military pers | onnel |
| To FILEGISTIS OF MAY LENG | or con attitudes of Co. | | |
| in Korea: | ort on attitudes of Co. | | |
| in Korea: | Interrogations ers of war indi Chinese Comm offensive was s | of recently-captured plate that the morale of unist forces prior to to cood. and that such su | prison- of the the last rrenders |
| as did occur were due | Interrogations ers of war indi Chinese Comm offensive was s | of recently-captured plate that the morale of unist forces prior to to cood. and that such su | prison- of the the last rrenders |
| in Korea: | Interrogations ers of war indi Chinese Comm offensive was s | of recently-captured plate that the morale of unist forces prior to to cood. and that such su | prison- of the the last rrenders |
| in Korea: | Interrogations ers of war indi Chinese Comm offensive was s | of recently-captured plate that the morale of unist forces prior to to cood. and that such su | prison- of the the last rrenders |
| in Korea: | Interrogations ers of war indi Chinese Comm offensive was s | of recently-captured plate that the morale of unist forces prior to to cood. and that such su | prison- of the the last rrenders |

to the lack of food. The prisoners revealed that during May a major source of troop discontent was the deterioration in the quality and quantity of rations -- a factor indicating a serious strain on Chinese Communist Army transportation facilities. It was further revealed that the majority of Chinese Communist troops in Korea are ex-Chinese Nationalist troops who may now be regarded as thoroughly indoctrinated in Communism. The prisoners regarded Soviet aid as coming from an ally and did not consider themselves as Soviet puppets. The prisoner of war reports agree that some of the best Chinese Communist armies have been "destroyed," but they believe that the CCF can and will continue to fight with somewhat lower quality replacements.

| of substantial Soviet anti-aircraft artillery units in the Pyongyang area. Soviet anti-aircraft artillery units in the Pyongyang area. 25X1 | K1A | According to the Far East Air Force, USAF 25X1 reports of the presence |
|--|------------------------|---|
| an automatic weapons group of 40 guns was manned by USAF youthful Soviet soldiers wearing uniforms similar to those worn by Soviet Naval personnel assigned to AAA coastal defense units. Moreover, air photos reveal a slight increase in both heavy and light antiaircraft guns in the Pyongyang area, where increasingly intense, accurate and heavy fire has been encountered. | | of substantial Soviet anti-aircraft artil- USAF lery units in the Pyongyang area. 25X1 |
| Soviet Naval personnel assigned to AAA coastal defense units. More- over, air photos reveal a slight increase in both heavy and light anti- aircraft guns in the Pyongyang area, where increasingly intense, accurate and heavy fire has been encountered. | cran | troops and weapons in Pyongyang in May. |
| | youth | Iful Soviet soldiers wearing uniforms similar to those worn by |
| | Sovie over aircı | If the soldiers wearing uniforms similar to those worn by the to the soldiers was igned to AAA coastal defense units. Moreair photos reveal a slight increase in both heavy and light anticatt guns in the Pyongyang area, where increasingly intense. |
| | Sovie over aircı | ful Soviet soldiers wearing uniforms similar to those worn by It Naval personnel assigned to AAA coastal defense units. More- air photos reveal a slight increase in both heavy and light anti- aft guns in the Pyongyang area, where increasingly intense, rate and heavy fire has been encountered. |

25X1A

| | 25X1A |
|----|--|
| | Approved For Release 2004/07/08 : CIA-RDP79T00975A000300010001-6 |
| | |
| | |
| | NEAR EAST |
| 6. | The state of the s |
| | present Iranian Government: |
| | British Foreign Secretary Morrison has informed the US Ambassador in London that there is no prospect for productive negotiations with the present Iranian Government and that the British have done everything possible to reach a reasonable settlement. He commented that the Shah-should dismiss Mossadeq and, with the support of the army, dissolve the Majlis, but felt that the Shah lacks the courage to take such action. Morrison repeatedly stressed the imminent possibility of violence in Iran, in the face of which he is unwilling to order British Anglo-Iranian Oil Company personnel to remain at their posts. He emphasized the Parliamentary difficulties with which he is faced, with the Conservatives consistently pressing the government to use force — a recourse which the government realizes cannot be adopted except to protect British lives. |
| | Comment: The UK evidently anticipates the early collapse of the Iranian economy, with the resultant political chaos, and has apparently decided that such a state of affairs will offer the best conditions for the establishment of a new British bargaining position for regaining control of the oil industry. The cabinet is undoubtedly aware of the serious consequences that may flow from poli- |

25X1A

25X1A

| conseg | onfusion uences. | LIL LI CLA | i, put | aever (1 | leress : | appears | Willin | g to ris | k these | |
|--------|---------------------|------------|--------|----------|----------|---------|--------|----------|---------|--|
| | | | · | · | | | | | | |
| | | ō | | | | | | • | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| | Approve | ed For Release | 2004/07/08 : | CIA-RDP79T00 |)975A00030001 | 0001-6 | |
|--------|--|--|--|---|--|---|------------|
| | • `` | 9 | | | | | |
| Г | ! | · | . ! | * | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | • | E A Q T | PDN EIDAE | | | |
| ^ | | , | | ERN EUROP | 'E | | |
| 9. | Tito evic | lences pro-A | merican s | entiment: | | | |
| V4 Å | | | | | | | \neg |
| X1A | | | | | Tito | reportedly | |
| | | | refe | rred to the | US in the war | mest terme | |
| | of liberty | for the wor | stat ld. Contra | ing that the | US alone was | the champio | n |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | lenkov heads tern world r | | ve |
| | | | OID WAI NO | rore me wes | stern world r | earms, 🧠 | |
| | slavia. A winning You orientation lower rank Tito will for | llen notes the ugoslav confiner will over consistent of the Yugh indication in the constitution in the con | endering properties of the control o | ompt and eff mportance of order that the naining oppo ernment. In | Allen in Belghe US has be ective assist fontinuing to new Yugos, sition in the Allen's opinial officials in as been stream | en promoted ance to Yugo his policy of lav pro-US middle and on, although |) - |
|] (| pro-US orio Other report Opinion with | entation in th | ome latent ne organiza cent month | y and Comm resistance to tions on whi s have indic | urrent pro-Ulunist Party in the Tito government of the tito's supported different extending event leanings. | s probably vernment's port rests, | |
| | • | | | | | N. | |
| | | i ! | *** | 8 - | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 25X1A | | | | | | |
| | , ZJA 1A | | * . | | · . | | |
| | | | | | | | |

25X1

25X1 25X1

| K | 24x0rAved For Release 2004/07/08 : CIA-RDP79T00975A00030001 | <mark>0</mark> 0 01-6 | | | |
|-------|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | grant Maria | 1 1 | | | |
| • | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| | WESTERN EUROPE | | | | |
| | 10. British Foreign Secretary explains intention to deliver P | olish tankers: | | | |
| 25X1A | Foreign Secretary Morrison told US Ambassador Gifford on 26 June that the scheduled delivery of two Polish government- owned tankers must be related to the gen- eral British dependence upon Eastern European sources for timber and grain. Morrison felt that there was a definite risk of losing supplies essential to the British economy if the tankers were requisitioned. He also referred to resentment in some quarters because of US pressure for further restriction of trade. Morrison agreed to look into the possibility of deferring the date of delivery, though he gave no assurances on this matter. He expressed full awareness of the probable adverse US re- action. A Foreign Office note delivered the same day explained that since Polish ownership of the vessels is unquestionable, the UK could requisition the tankers only as an "emergency" action that would probable provoke damaging Polish retaliation. | | | | |
| | Comment: The two vessels is were ordered in 1948 by Poland, and are similar in size used by the USSR to carry petroleum products to the Far rent Polish petroleum requirements are such that these v not necessarily be required for regular shipments betwee and Poland. | to those now East. Cur- essels would | | | |
| • | | • • | | | |
| 4 | 1 Pritial approach for any land | | | | |
| | The head of the British Foreight German political department that (a) as a result of the break the Deputies' talks in Paris, the second control of the break the Deputies' talks in Paris, the second control of the break the Deputies' talks in Paris, the second control of the break the Deputies' talks in Paris, the second control of the break the Deputies' talks in Paris, the second control of the break the Deputies' talks in Paris, the second control of the British Foreight | kas observed kdown of | | | |
| | powers should press for German rearmament, (b) the Bri | tish Joint | | | |
| • | -9- | | | | |
| | 25X1A | | | | |
| | | | | | |

25X1

Chiefs of Staff are of the same view, and (c) Foreign Secretary Morrison is "sold" on the need for an early German contribution to Western defense.

Comment: Previously, responsible British officials appeared to see no urgent need for German rearmament, despite the government's agreement in September 1950 to a German contribution to Western defense. The British counseled caution, especially during the many months when consideration of the subject at a possible Foreign Ministers' conference seemed likely. Another retarding factor has been considerable public opposition to German rearmament, especially in Labor circles. Recently, however, the failure of Aneurin Bevan's resignation to cause a serious Labor Party rift on foreign policy and the apathetic public reaction to the termination of the Deputies' meetings may have instilled some new confidence into government leaders.

| 25X1A 25. | New French Government faces an urgent problem in Indochina: | | |
|-----------|--|--|--|
| | The over-all availability of funds for | | |
| | the conduct of operations in Indochina is | | |
| | one of the urgent problems facing the new | | |
| | French Government and National Aggarable | | |
| | elections and there is now no basic difference of opinion among real | | |
| | Communist parties on this subject. A decided swing to the right in the | | |

within the French Union.

Comment: Although the strengthened Right in the new Assembly probably will be inclined to reinforce commitments in Indochina, it is not expected that operations there will receive a greater portion of the 1952 than the 1951 military budget (slightly less than 25 percent). France's anticipated defense expenditures for 1952 are estimated at \$4 billion, an increase of 50 percent over the 1951 defense costs; this will increase the over-all budget

composition of the French Government, however, might result in a less liberal interpretation of the position of the Associated States

| - IV - | | | 4 | | |
|--------|--|----|---|--|--|
| 25X1A | | \$ | | | |
| \$ | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

25X1A Approved For Release 2004/07/08 : CIA-RDP79T00975A000300010001-6

deficit to more than \$3 billion, and draw strong criticism, especially from the Communist deputies. In the event that the Gaullists gain power, a less liberal interpretation of the position of the Associated States would dangerously increase the anti-French sentiment already prevalent in Indochina and weaken support for the Bao Dai government. In his press conference on 22 June, De Gaulle stated that once France is put back in order (i. e. after he returns to power), 10 divisions (possibly doubling the 150,000 men now on duty) could be supplied to Indochina. De Gaulle made clear, however, that the defense of the metropolitan area would be his first consideration.

| 25X1A | |
|-------|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| ·. · | |
| | |
| | |

- 11 -

| 25X1A | |
|-------|--|
| * . | |
| | |